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The Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO (e-CHUG) Effective in Reducing Alcohol Consumption

## No Significant Outcome Differences Between AlcoholEdu and Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO (e-CHUG)

Hustad, J. T. P., Barnett, N. P., Borsari, B., \& Jackson, K. M. (2010). Web-based alcohol prevention for incoming college students: A randomized controlled trial. Addictive Behaviors, 35, 183-189. The complete article can be viewed online at ScienceDirect.com

Eighty-two (82) freshmen attending a liberal arts college in the Northeast were randomly given either the Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO (e-CHUG) intervention, the AlcoholEdu educational program or assigned to a control group.

## The Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO (e-CHUG)

This new study adds to the body of research (now seven independent studies) supporting the efficacy of the Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO in reducing alcohol consumption in the general freshman population.

Six post intervention follow-up measures showed significant reductions in alcohol use following the Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO intervention compared to a control group. Participants reported significantly reduced alcohol use at follow up and remarkably, their peak BAC's reduced to .08 while participants in the control group increased to .15 , nearly double the intervention group's. This important difference in BAC may mark the division between risky and moderate use.

Additionally, Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO participants reported significantly fewer harms associated with interpersonal problems and significantly less difficulty controlling their alcohol use than the control group.

The authors conclude: "e-Chug (Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO) was effective at reducing alcohol use behaviors and provided students with information that is typically delivered in a face-toface brief motivational interview."

## The Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO Brief Personalized Intervention Versus <br> AlcoholEdu's Alcohol Education Program

While both programs showed more positive and significantly stronger outcomes than did the control group, this study showed no significant differences in outcomes between the Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO intervention and the AlcoholEdu education program across any of the 7 outcome measures, nor any of the 8 negative consequences sub-scales.

See the tables below, printed here by permission of the senior author, Dr. John Hustad.
Seven outcome measures:

| Outcome measure | AlcoholEdu v. controls | e-CHUG v. controls | e-CHUG v. AlcoholEdu |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Typical week drinking | Significant reduction | Significant reduction | No significant difference |
| Frequency of heavy <br> episodic drinking | Significant reduction | Significant reduction | No significant difference |
| Number of drinks <br> consumed on a typical day | Significant reduction | Significant reduction | No significant difference |
| Number of drinks on a <br> peak day of drinking | Significant reduction | Significant reduction | No significant difference |
| Estimated typical BAC | Significant reduction | Significant reduction | No significant difference |
| Estimated Peak BAC | Significant reduction | Significant reduction | No significant difference |
| Negative alcohol-related <br> consequences | Significant reduction | "Marginally significant" <br> $p=.09)$ | No significant difference |

The eight (8) "Negative alcohol-related consequences" sub-scales:

| Outcome measure | AlcoholEdu v. controls | e-CHUG v. controls | e-CHUG v. AlcoholEdu |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social-interpersonal | Significant reduction | Significant reduction | No significant difference |
| Impaired control | Significant reduction | Significant reduction | No significant difference |
| Self-perception | Significant reduction | No significant difference | No significant difference |
| Self-care | No significant difference | No significant difference | No significant difference |
| Risk Behaviors | No significant difference | No significant difference | No significant difference |
| Academic/occupational | No significant difference | No significant difference | No significant difference |
| Physical Dependence | No significant difference | No significant difference | No significant difference |
| Blackout Drinking | No significant difference | No significant difference | No significant difference |

The authors conclude: "Given the results supporting the efficacy of (electronic interventions) EIs, and the low administrative burden to deliver EIs, web-based alcohol-education programs like AlcoholEdu and e-Chug (Alcohol eCHECKUP TO GO) appear to be a promising approach to reduce alcohol use in freshmen college students."
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